## Revenue Proposal Reference Group (RPRG)

**Meeting overview - 10 December 2020** 



Full minutes of RPRG meetings can be accessed at <a href="https://www.powerlink.com.au/2023-2027-regulatory-period">www.powerlink.com.au/2023-2027-regulatory-period</a>

Key topic	Key discussion highlights and actions
Progress update	<ul> <li>RAB has been declining in real terms over the current period and is forecast to decline in both real and nominal terms in the next period.</li> <li>Per customer RAB has declined at a rate of 3% p.a. over the current period, and is forecast to continue to decline at a rate of 3% p.a. in the next regulatory period.</li> <li>RAB per MWh has remained relatively flat during the current period, and is forecast to decline at a rate of 2% p.a. in the next regulatory period.</li> </ul>
Update on contingent reinvestments, RAB transfer, shared assets	<ul> <li>Powerlink has decided not to pursue contingent reinvestment projects in our Revenue Proposal.</li> <li>This decision will not result in a change to the capital expenditure forecast (of \$865.3m) presented to the Customer Panel on 26 November 2020. The forecast included additional ex-ante capital expenditure to provide for targeted life extension of the assets that were the subject of the proposed contingent reinvestment (approximately \$21m).</li> <li>Powerlink is investigating potential asset transfers into the RAB of up to approximately \$50m (\$21/22, real).</li> <li>At this stage, Powerlink does not anticipate an adjustment to the Maximum Allowed Revenue (MAR) for shared assets.</li> <li>Key actions</li> <li>Powerlink to clarify what would have been the contingent reinvestment spend in this regulatory period and next</li> </ul>
Benchmarking	<ul> <li>Fowerlink to clarify what would have been the contangent reinvestment spend in this regulatory period.</li> <li>Econometric benchmarking of TNSPs is not well developed, even internationally. Australia is further limited by the small sample size of only five TNSPs.</li> <li>The effect of the change in weightings confirms benchmarking results for TNSPs cannot be used deterministically.</li> <li>HoustonKemp found Powerlink is operating relatively efficiently when compared to our peers and there is nothing to indicate our proposed 2018/19 base year opex is "materially inefficient". Please note the use of the term "materially inefficient" reflects terminology used by the AER.</li> <li>HoustonKemp found Powerlink's opex MPFP performance is consistent with other TNSPs and has improved over time.</li> </ul>
Engagement evaluation	<ul> <li>Discussions around engagement approach and feedback on approach to date.</li> <li>Importance of informal and formal feedback.</li> <li>Overview of engagement KPIs</li> <li>Input into what Powerlink is seeking from the Customer Panel meeting (without Powerlink representatives) discussing engagement and capable of acceptance criteria.</li> <li>Key actions</li> <li>Powerlink to circulate a high-level overview of the Stakeholder Perception Survey key findings.</li> <li>Powerlink to prepare a range of brief overview sheets on additional key topics related to the Revenue Proposal by the end of January.</li> </ul>
Next steps	<ul> <li>Key actions</li> <li>RPRG to advise Powerlink if they would like a "dial-in" session on STPIS in January, with the view to holding a full RPRG meeting in late February/early March.</li> </ul>



More information